UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

United States of America	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
V.	O No. 4.00 - 00007 JTN
Javier Lopez-Ramos Defendant	Case No. 1:09-cr-00307-JTN
After conducting a detention hearing under the Bail that the defendant be detained pending trial.	Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require
	- Findings of Fact
(1) The defendant is charged with an offense describe	ed in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of se that would have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had
a crime of violence as defined in 18 U which the prison term is 10 years or n	J.S.C. § 3156(a)(4)or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5) for nore.
an offense for which the maximum sentence	e is death or life imprisonment.
an offense for which a maximum prison term	m of ten years or more is prescribed in:
a felony committed after the defendant had U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable s	been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 state or local offenses.
any felony that is not a crime of violence bu	t involves:
a minor victim the possession or use of a firea a failure to register under 18 U.	arm or destructive device or any other dangerous weapon S.C. § 2250
(2) The offense described in finding (1) was committee or local offense.	ed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state
(3) A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the offense described in finding (1).	ne date of conviction defendant's release from prison for the
(4) Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable pre person or the community. I further find that defen	esumption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of anoth dant has not rebutted that presumption.
Alterna	ative Findings (A)
(1) There is probable cause to believe that the defend	dant has committed an offense
for which a maximum prison term of ten yea Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801	
under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c) (2) The defendant has not rebutted the presumption of defendant's appearance and the safety of the compared to the compare	established by finding (1) that no condition will reasonably assure the
**	ative Findings (B)
√ (1) There is a serious risk that the defendant will not a	appear.
(2) There is a serious risk that the defendant will enda	anger the safety of another person or the community.
Part II – Statement	of the Reasons for Detention
evidence a preponderance of the evidence that: 1. Defendant waived his detention hearing, electing not to 2. Defendant is subject to an ICE detainer and would not be	
o. Defendant may bring the issue of this continuing determine	on to the court's attention should his circumstances change.

Part III - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date:	October 16, 2009	Judge's Signature: /s/ Ellen S. Carmody
		Name and Title: Ellen S. Carmody, U.S. Magistrate Judge